EDUSKUNNAN OIKEUSASIAMIES
RIKSDAGENS JUSTITIEOMBUDSMAN
PARLIAMENTARY OMBUDSMAN OF FINLAND

Complaint to the Parliamentary Ombudsman

CONTACT INFORMATION

Name E.F. Fluff

Address

Postal code

Postal office

E-mail address

Telephone number

Country

[redacted]

Consent for using e-mail in messaging

May the messages and decisions related to this complaint be delivered by e-mail? If no consent has been given, the material will be delivered by post. Confidential material can be delivered by e-mail only to users who have identified themselves. *

- (●) I consent to the material being delivered by e-mail. (Checked)
- ( ) I do not consent to the material being delivered by e-mail.

E-mail address * [redacted]

© 1999 - 2025 SSH Secure Collaboration. All rights reserved.

AUTHORISATION

Are you filing a complaint on someone else's behalf or together with others? *

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No (Checked)

© 1999 - 2025 SSH Secure Collaboration. All rights reserved.

EDUSKUNNAN OIKEUSASIAMIES
RIKSDAGENS JUSTITIEOMBUDSMAN
PARLIAMENTARY OMBUDSMAN OF FINLAND

Complaint to the Parliamentary Ombudsman

PENDENCY OF THE COMPLAINT AND APPEALS

Have you notified any other authorities about the matter (complaint, request for clarification, request for an investigation, etc.)? *

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No (Checked)

© 1999 - 2025 SSH Secure Collaboration. All rights reserved.

DETAILS OF THE COMPLAINT

Authority, official or other body or individual performing a public task whose actions you are criticising: *

Ulosottolaitos and Riika Haverinen

Why do you feel the decision or procedure was unlawful? In the field below, explain in your own words what has happened, and where and when it has happened, and why do you feel the actions were unlawful *

Note:
I have history with Ulosottolaitos acting unlawfully toward me. I have attached a recent attempt to have matters corrected. I will be following this complaint with a new renewed complaint regarding those issues which have been ongoing since 2023.

Background:
I am writing complaint about an eviction and enforcement procedure that was conducted as breach of contract and part of employment fraud. I am disabled. I have disability papers in Ireland and extensive Finnish B Certificate, I have mobility issues and none of this should have happened.

My ex-partner tangled me in what was supposed to be a disability rehabilitation work scheme that she was to process through KELA. This was fraud and part of wider coercive control abuse. Which Finnish Domestic Abuse Organisations have told me meets the threshold for human trafficking.

The enforcement procedure should have been handled with particular care to the Disability Act and Social Welfare Act. With neutrality, and regard for disability, vulnerability, disputed facts, and the risk of further abuse. It was not.

Ulosottolaitos and Riika Haverinen acted in abusive and biased and dehumanising ways that breach the Enforcement Act and that have illegally deprived me of property, medication, mobility support, documents, and access to justice.

The procedure was unlawful because I was not given a fair or realistic opportunity to organise storage for my belongings, despite repeatedly trying to do so and despite my disability and need to coordinate with KELA and other supports. The minimum time for KELA to be able to organise this is 7-10 days IF expedited.

Ulosottolaitos refused to communicate with me properly deliberately causing distress in a disabled person.

I needed a correct moving date and reasonable time in order to secure storage and move my belongings lawfully, but Riika Haverinen refused to allow meaningful time, failed to respond properly, and made herself unavailable when urgent responses were needed.

Instead of facilitating lawful compliance, the enforcement process was handled in a way that made compliance practically impossible and that breaches the Enforcement Act in a discriminatory way.

The conduct was also unlawful because of the manner in which the matter was handled.

I received enforcement-related contact at around 2:30-3 a.m., which I regard as abusive, intimidatory, and wholly inconsistent with proper public administration. I have asked other Finnish people this does not happen to anyone, this was 100% abuse.

In the context of my disability, distress, and repeated requests for help and extension, this was not a neutral or proportionate way for an authority to act. This is bias, it is discriminatory, it is abuse.

Ulosottolaitos simply did not do the basic legal job it was required to do. There was no proper inventory, no reliable protocol, and no transparent record of what was taken, what was left, what was stored, what was returned, and what was handed over to the opposing party. That is not a minor administrative slip; it is a complete failure of lawful enforcement and it destroyed accountability.

Ulosottolaitos coincided this with a police raid by Pasila Police.

During a period where I was held in arbitrary detention in Vantaa Prison as evidenced by statements made by the Assistant Warden.

Riika Haverinen gave control of all of my belongings to Anna Vuorivirta.

That includes:
Full list of belongings
Medical and disability essentials
• All Prescription medication, including controlled medication.
• Mobility aid
Identity and legal documents
• Original birth certificate.
• Deed poll / name-change documents. No other copy of these exists.
• Legal documents and court filings. Not replaceable.
• Court evidence bundles. Not replaceable
• Personal notepads containing legal, contact, and business information.
Property and ownership records
• Dublin property deeds.
• Bills of ownership.
• All paperwork relating to the Dublin property.
• Other property ownership documents.
• Discs with medical imaging.
Digital devices and data
• Apple MacBook Pro.
• iPad
• Hard drives.
• External hard drives containing court evidence and performance archives.
• Digital belongings and personal data files.
• iphone
Work and creative equipment
• Professional DJ mixer / controller.
• Vintage Controller for drum software.
• Synthesiser units.
• Drum machine.
• Other producer and DJ work tools.
• Performance archives.
Personal clothing and accessories
• Clothes.
• Designer Maharishi clothing.
• Hoodies and vintage T-shirts.
• Other personal garments.
Jewellery and valuables
• Gold signet ring.
• Silver jewellery.
• Gold and silver jewellery pieces.
• Jade jewellery.
• One-troy-ounce Indian Head Silver Dollar.
• Rare silver cast finger.
• Other family heirlooms.
Furniture and household items
• Furniture.
• Antique furniture.
• Antique tableware.
• Rare books.
• All other household possessions.

No inventory was made. I have asked for the inventory. No inventory was made.

Riika Haverinen says she is because she decided everything was rubbish. This is a lie. This is also Riika Haverinen informing she believes I am rubbish. Riika was asked in front of witnesses why she returned what she did. She said she did so to protect my “private data” this is a deliberately abusive insult as she gave Anna Vuorivirta laptops, ipads, hard drives, note pads, bags of documents. It is and was a snide discriminatory insult.

A selection of items were returned – laptops a random notepad. Some cables. The cable for my ipad was returned but not the ipad. A power cable for a harddrive not included. A power cable for a laptop not returned. The power cable for an ipad and iphone but no ipad and no iphone. This was all done as deliberate “you’re worthless scum” messaging abuse by Riika Haverinen.

As no inventory was made. No items were put in storage, and Riika Haverinen informed me this was because she decided everything was rubbish. Which means she decided I am rubbish. I’m not human, I’m not due rights.

Once the record was not made properly, I was left unable to prove what happened to my own

No inventory. No storage. “I decided your belongings are rubbish.’

This includes all of my medication. Which I need to function.

The confiscation or withholding of my medication and mobility aid is especially serious and unlawful.

The eviction process resulted in me being deprived of prescribed medication and the walking aid I needed because of disability, spine injuries, and chronic pain. Those were not luxury items or trivial possessions. These items are not “rubbish”.

They are essential to my health, dignity, and physical functioning.

Removing or failing to secure access to such items was grossly disproportionate and incompatible with respect for fundamental rights, equal treatment, and the duty of an authority to take account of a person’s vulnerability. In my case, this directly worsened my health, mobility, and ability to manage the consequences of the eviction, and it continues to do so. This is cruel and unusual treatment from an Ulosottolaitos representative who has already shown herself to be biased, abusive, and discriminatory, and should not be working as a State Authority Representative. [Civil Servants Act and the Enforcement Act].

Unless the Parliamentary Ombudsman is going to tell me Ulosottolaitos is now a medical organisation. Where is my medication? Where in any law or part of this legal process is the confiscation of my medication part of the process.

Ulosottolaitos’ conduct is discriminatory and failed to accommodate disability.

As stated Ulosottolaitos had information that I am disabled and had mobility limitations, yet the enforcement process was handled as if those facts were irrelevant. No meaningful accommodation was made for the practical reality that I could not rapidly pack, transport, document, and safeguard an entire life’s worth of property without time and assistance. Instead of adapting the procedure to the situation, the authority used the situation against me. That failure to account for disability in the exercise of coercive public power rendered the procedure unfair, disproportionate, and incompatible with equality and basic rights protections.

The actions are unlawful. They are abuse. They are institutional abuse. They are a State Authority representative taking State Ownership of abuse. Why was Riika Haverinen contacting me at all hours of the morning? Is she an alcoholic? Was she getting sexual pleasure from this?
The actions of Ulosottolaitos and Riika Haverinen breach the Enforcement Act, the Social Welfare Act, the Civil Servants Act. They enabled “Embezzlement” under Finnish Law. This includes falsification of public record.

Where is the documented inventory of the belongings? Why was nothing put in storage? Where is my medication? Where are my legal papers?

Is the Parliamentary Ombudsman trying to tell me Riika Haverinen is of such medical grade level stupidity that she was not able to see items of value? No, she is not, this is a State Authority hate crime based on discriminatory reasons and bias.

Furthermore:
https://archive.org/details/riika-haverinen-ulsottolaitos-fraudulent-eviction-12.03.2026

The linked phone recording evidences that Riika Haverinen was in possession of knowledge that the entire eviction which she had the papers of was and is fraudulent.

The attached email from HEKA
https://archive.org/details/heka-evidence-of-debt-bondage-via-fraud-ulosottolaitos-1

Evidences that Riika Haverinen either lied to me in recorded phone call, or then vengefully did not follow through with the removal of the fraudulent debt.

Which is Riika Haverinen acting criminally. That is falsification of record, fraudulent activity as a civil servant, but it is Riika Haverinen abusing her professional position to abuse a vulnerable disabled person.

It is also Riika Haverinen and Ulosottolaitos protecting fraud, fraud of the Court, and tax fraud.

I therefore ask the Parliamentary Ombudsman to investigate both Riika Haverinen personally and Ulosottolaitos institutionally for unlawful

conduct, abuse of discretion, inappropriate behaviour, and violations of fundamental and human rights.

There is a lot of audio recordings to evidence this. Court documents.
The Ombudsman can ask for what documents and evidence it requires.

I have decided to go public with the situation because it is ridiculous

https://vm.tiktok.com/ZNRvGwkmU/

© 1999 - 2025 SSH Secure Collaboration. All rights reserved.

ATTACHMENTS TO THE COMPLAINT

File attachments

© 1999 - 2025 SSH Secure Collaboration. All rights reserved.